URBAN FINDINGS

Discovering Millennials' Migration Clusters in Seoul, South Korea: A Local Spatial Network Autocorrelation Approach

Youngbin Lee¹, Sohyun Park² , Kyusik Kim³ , Hui Jeong Ha⁴, Jinhyung Lee⁵ ¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Seoul National University, ² Department of Geography, The Ohio State University, ³ Department of Geography, Florida State University, ⁴ Independent Researcher, ⁵ Department of Geography and Environment, Western University

Keywords: Millennials, Migration Clusters, Local Spatial Network Autocorrelation, Migration Motives

<https://doi.org/10.32866/001c.29523>

Findings

The spatial directionality of Millennials' migration has been underexplored. This study utilizes local spatial network autocorrelation to identify migration clusters of Millennials in a metropolitan area. The result found that Millennials migrate within an urban core and move to newer districts in surrounding areas. We found spatially distinct migration clusters by age group, possibly reflecting different motives through life stages. The analysis demonstrated that this method is effective for detecting migration clusters and for comparing subsets.

1. Questions

While the complex factors that affect Millennials' residential choices have drawn attention (e.g., Myers 2016), heterogeneous directionalities of Millennials' migration remain underexplored. Where do Millennials move to? Are migration patterns homogeneous within a birth cohort? Unveiling the process of moving from one location to another is crucial for geographers, urban planners, practitioners, and policymakers. A spatially explicit understanding of migration patterns could empower agencies to set policy targets for places while planning infrastructure programs for such as housing, commuting, and public services. Furthermore, exploring spatial patterns across age groups would bring a multifaceted understanding to generation and life cycle, generating new hypotheses about the mechanisms shaping residential migration.

The study examines Millennials' spatial migration patterns in South Korea's Seoul Metropolitan Area (SMA). Given the tendency of short-distance mobility, an analysis of intra-metropolitan migration will aid our understanding of Millennials' location choices and the associated urban dynamics. This study answers the following research questions:

1. Where are Millennials' migration flows clustered? In which directions are they heading?
2. How do the migration patterns among Millennials differ across age groups?

2. Methods

Our analyses describe migration patterns within the SMA, consisting of Seoul, the capital city, and its surrounding provinces. There are 77 districts (*sigungu*) within SMA. We categorized districts based on the median year in which the

Figure 1. (a) Study area and the median year structure built of districts; (b) A conceptual representation for defining neighboring flows: a flow from origin i to destination j (the solid arrow) and neighboring flows from k to l (dotted arrows) have a value of 1 in the network spatial weight matrix

structure was built (Figure 1(a)) excluding rural areas. This gives a rough idea of which districts have experienced more recent development. Migration data in 2019 were retrieved from Statistics Korea (KOSTAT) and aggregated at the district level. The data provides household residential mobility information with age and the primary motive for migration. We adopt KOSTAT's (2012) definition of Millennials as people who were born between 1979 and 1992. We then subdivided Millennials into younger (27-33) and older (34-40) groups based on the average marriage age (33) to consider the effect of life cycle.

We identify statistically significant migration clusters using the local spatial network autocorrelation statistic G_{ij}^* proposed by Berglund and Karlström (1999). The metric, an extended statistic of Getis and Ord (1992), G_i^* , detects spatial clustering of flows within the context of neighboring flows based on origins and destinations. To be a statistically significant hot flow (c.f., a hot spot with G_i^* statistic), a flow will have a high value and be surrounded by other flows with high values as well. Thus, the method enables detecting a group of flows having similar traits in terms of directionality. We can analyze the general trend of flow data in consideration of the spatial structure of the area of interest, rather than focusing on few large-volume flows. To calculate the G_{ij}^* statistic, we generated a binary network spatial weight matrix (W) for each link of the migration network matrix based on the spatial contiguity of zones. Each weight of the matrix was calculated using an equation as a combined form of multiple origins and destinations visualized in Figure 1(b) (Equation (1)). We then calculated G_{ij}^* statistic using Equation (2). To ensure a statistical significance of the value, we conducted bootstrapping permutation

of resampling the individual statistic 10,000 times to generate a non-parametric distribution. Among the total 5,852 (77 x 76) flows, those with a value greater than the 500th largest value of the distribution (i.e., at the significance level of 0.05) are defined as migration clusters.

$$w_{ij,kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } w(j,l) = 1, \text{ or } j = l \text{ and } w(i,k) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $w(i,j)$ is 1 if i and j are contiguous and 0 otherwise. Two sets of flows, connecting i to j and k to l , are defined as neighboring flows (i.e., $w_{ij,kl}$ equals 1), when the flows are made from the same neighboring zone to another same neighboring zone. We care about spatial contiguity of both origins and destinations of flows for constructing a weight matrix.

$$G_{ij}^*(W) = \frac{\sum_{kl} w_{ij,kl} r_{kl} - W_{ij}^* \bar{r}}{s \left\{ \frac{(n S_{1,ij}^* - W_{ij}^{*2})}{(n-1)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (2)$$

$$\bar{r} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{kl} r_{kl}, \quad s^2 = \frac{\sum_{kl} r_{kl}^2}{n-1} - \bar{r}^2, \quad W_{ij}^* = \sum_{kl} w_{ij,kl}, \quad S_{1,ij}^* = \sum_{kl} w_{ij,kl}^2$$

, where r_{kl} is migration volume of kl network (a flow from k to l), n is total number of networks. Here, the local sum for a flow and its neighbors is compared to the sum of all flows.

To compare migration patterns of two different groups in the same area, we excluded overlapped clusters which are shown in both groups from each result. By doing so, we analyzed migration patterns unique to a group, compared to the other one. R 4.1.1. was used for G_{ij}^* statistic calculation and QGIS 3.20.2. was utilized for visualization following Graser (2019).

3. Findings

Millennials' migration clusters are found both inside Seoul and out-migration flows of Seoul

[Figure 2](#) shows the migration clusters of Millennials in 2019. Migration clusters detected by local spatial network autocorrelation statistic are concentrated in southern Seoul (yellow arrows). There are fewer migration clusters moving out of Seoul to surrounding districts. The diverging patterns – within versus out-migration– suggest different dynamics of migrations among Millennials.

When the migration clusters are collated with the median year structure built in districts, 77.8% of the clusters are heading to districts having the same or newer buildings (shaded cells in [Table 1](#)). Within Seoul, migration clusters are agglomerated in southern Seoul, which is relatively recently developed, and the

Figure 2. Migration clusters of Millennials

Table 1. The number of migration clusters of Millennials by the median year structure built of origin-destination

Destination Origin		Median year structure built			Total
		> 1998	1992 ~ 1998	< 1992	
Median year structure built	> 1998	21	13	3	37
	1992 ~ 1998	31	64	39	134
	< 1992	16	42	19	77
Total		68	119	61	248

out-migrants who left Seoul move to newer districts. The pattern indicates that Millennials prefer to live in newer villages, a finding consistent with previous studies (e.g., Lee 2021).

Spatially distinct migration clusters of younger and older Millennials are observed

Within the same birth cohort, each age group has its own migration pattern. Younger Millennials tend to move within Seoul (Figure 3(a)); older Millennials tend to leave Seoul for the surrounding districts.

Such diverging patterns by age can be partially explained by changes in migration motives along the life cycle (Table 2). The higher share of young Millennials' migration events is motivated by employment, which draws them to regions with the most job opportunities. At the same time, migration in search of better housing accounts for the migration of older Millennials' migration who generally buy their first home after getting married.

Figure 3. Distinct migration clusters of younger and older Millennials

Table 2. The share of households by migration motives across age groups

Age group Migration motives	Millennials	Younger (27 to 33)	Older (34 to 40)	All ages
Housing	46.8%	40.5%	53.5%	51.9%
Employment	24.1%	29.2%	18.5%	20.6%
Family	15.4%	17.0%	13.6%	11.6%
Others	13.8%	13.3%	14.3%	15.9%

*Note: Housing: purchase of a new house or termination of contracts. Employment: a new job with a new employer or changes in employment within the same employer. Family: desires to be closer to family or marriage. Others: education- and environment-induced motives.

The study applied local spatial network autocorrelation statistic to analyze Millennials' spatial and directional migration patterns. Our results also showed that migrations of younger and older Millennials are separated in terms of origins and destinations, possibly reflecting different needs based on life cycle. The analysis demonstrated that the method detects migration clusters and is useful for comparing subsets.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the Faculty of Social Science at Western University.

Submitted: September 23, 2021 AEDT, Accepted: October 18, 2021 AEDT

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-SA-4.0). View this license's legal deed at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0> and legal code at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/legalcode> for more information.

REFERENCES

- Berglund, Svante, and Anders Karlström. 1999. "Identifying Local Spatial Association in Flow Data." *Journal of Geographical Systems* 1 (3): 219–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s101090050013>.
- Getis, Arthur, and J. K. Ord. 1992. "The Analysis of Spatial Association by Use of Distance Statistics." *Geographical Analysis* 24 (3): 189–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-4632.1992.tb00261.x>.
- Graser, A. 2019. "Flow Maps in QGIS – No Plugins Needed!" *Open Source GIS Ramblings*, May 4, 2019. <https://anitagraser.com/2019/05/04/flow-maps-in-qgis-no-plugins-needed/>.
- KOSTAT. 2012. "Demographical and Social Characteristics of the Baby Boomer and Echo Generations According to the Social Survey," 2012. http://kostat.go.kr/portal/korea/kor_nw/1/2/1/index.board?bmode=read&aSeq=259163&pageNo=26&rowNum=10&amSeq=&sTarget=title&sTxt=.
- Lee, Hyojung. 2021. "Are Millennials Leaving Town? Reconciling Peak Millennials and Youthification Hypotheses." *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, January, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12265934.2020.1871061>.
- Myers, Dowell. 2016. "Peak Millennials: Three Reinforcing Cycles That Amplify the Rise and Fall of Urban Concentration by Millennials." *Housing Policy Debate* 26 (6): 928–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511482.2016.1165722>.